

DNA denaturation and wetting in the presence of disorder

H. Kunz¹ and R. Livi²

¹*Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, SB-ITP-LPPC CH - 1015 Lausanne*

²*CSDC - Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Firenze and
INFN Sezione di Firenze, via Sansone 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy*

We present a precise equivalence of the Lifson-Poland-Scheraga model with wetting models. Making use of a representation of the former model in terms of random matrices, we obtain, in the limit of weak disorder, a mean-field approximation, that shows a change of the critical behavior due to disorder.

PACS numbers: 05.70.Fh, 05.40.Fb, 02.50.-r, 87.15.-v

Quenched disorder plays a crucial role in phase transitions. It can either modify the nature or the order of the phase transition [1–3] or affect the value of the critical exponents [4–7]. In this letter we consider the Lifson–Poland–Scheraga (LPS) model of DNA denaturation, in the limit of weak quenched disorder. In the ordered case, the order of the phase transition depends crucially on a parameter α [8]. It is continuous if $1 < \alpha < 2$, while the transition turns to first order if $\alpha > 2$. Recently, it has been rigorously proven [9] that the disordered model exhibits a continuous transition for all values of α . The values of the critical exponents are unknown, except in the case $1 < \alpha < 3/2$, where they coincide with the exponents of the ordered case [10], in agreement with Harris’ criterion [11]. On the other hand, Giacomini and Toninelli [10] have pointed out the equivalence of the LPS model with wetting models. The standard disordered wetting model [4, 5] has been thoroughly studied and its solution is still challenging. In this letter we establish a precise connection between a Levy type wetting model and the LPS model, so that a first order transition is possible in the ordered case. Our results are based on a representation of the disordered LPS model as a random matrix model, making contact with a localization problem. This representation allows to obtain an exact supersymmetric formulation of the model, although here we discuss a less rigorous one, based on replicas. We obtain a mean-field approximation, which confirms that the critical exponents are unchanged if $1 < \alpha < 3/2$, but if $3/2 < \alpha < 2$ the exponents are independent of α . However, if $2 < \alpha < 3$ the phase transition remains first-order, thus indicating that in this case fluctuations play a crucial role.

The disordered case - DNA denaturation is described in the LPS model by the presence or the absence of an H(Hydrogen)-bond at the lattice site x in a chain of length N . A weight λ_x is associated to the H-bond at site x , whereas the absence of H-bonds in a sequence of sites of length j is weighted by the algebraic factor $a(j) = cj^{-\alpha}$. In fact, this model is equivalent to a wetting model [9]. Indeed, let us consider a random walk that is constrained to the upper half-plane, with a probability $p(m)$ of making a jump of size m . We can assign

a weight λ_x at any time x the random walk touches the horizontal axis. There is a probability $a(j)$ that during time j the walk does not touch the horizontal axis. For the usual random walk, it is well known that asymptotically $a(j) = cj^{-3/2}$. More generally, we can prove that the same results holds if $\sum_m p(m)m^2 < \infty$. On the other hand, if the walk is of Levy type, i.e. if asymptotically $p(m) = \bar{p}m^{-\sigma}$ with $1 < \sigma < 3$, then one finds asymptotically $a(j) = cj^{-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$. This bridges the Levy type random walk with the LPS through the relation $\alpha = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \geq 3/2$. In both cases the thermodynamics is determined by a partition function $q(N)$, that is given by the sum over all possible, properly weighted configurations. A crucial quantity in the wetting problem is the density of contacts with the horizontal axis, ρ , which corresponds to the density of H-bonds in the LPS model. The phase transition is characterized by the fact that the order parameter ρ vanishes beyond a critical value of the control parameter.

In both models, the partition function $q(N)$ obeys the recursion formula

$$\lambda_N q(N) = \sum_{x=0}^{N-2} q(N-1-x)a(x) + \delta_{N,1} \quad (1)$$

where for physical reasons $\lambda_x > 0$ and $a(0) = 1$ in the LPS model. The ordered case corresponds to $\lambda_x = \lambda$, $\forall x$: it can be easily solved by computing the Laplace transform of $q(N)$. One can then deduce from it the pressure $p = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log q(N)$ and the density ρ . One finds that $\rho = 0$ if $\lambda > \lambda_c = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} a(x)$. If $\lambda < \lambda_c$, near the critical point the density vanishes as $\rho = \bar{\rho}(\lambda_c - \lambda)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$ if $1 < \alpha < 2$. On the other hand, for $\alpha > 2$ one finds $\rho(\lambda_c) > 0$, so that the transition is first order with a jump in the order parameter. It is worth stressing that in the Levy type random walk one has a first order transition for $\frac{3}{2} < \sigma < 2$. In [12] we have also computed the structure factor of the LPS model. We found that a basic length scale ξ is associated to the critical behavior of the model,

$$\xi \sim \begin{cases} |\lambda_c - \lambda|^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} & \text{if } 1 < \alpha < 2 \\ |\lambda_c - \lambda|^{-1} & \text{if } 2 < \alpha < 3 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ we find that the structure factor, $S(k)$, has a scaling limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0, \xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(k)}{S(0)} = F_\alpha(k\xi) \quad (3)$$

where F_α is a universal function that depends only on the scaling exponent α , thus showing that α is a universal critical exponent associated to this class of models.

The disordered case - According to some recent contributions by Giacomini and Toninelli [9] the disordered version of the LPS model is expected to exhibit a continuous transition over the whole range of values of α . In order to tackle this problem we exploit another useful representation of the partition function as a determinant of a random matrix. Indeed, let us define a perturbed partition function, $q(N, \epsilon)$, where we replace in the recursion formula (1) $a(x)$ by $(1 + \epsilon)a(x)$. This allows to obtain the average density $\rho(N)$ as the logarithmic derivative of $q(N, \epsilon)$ with respect to ϵ in the limit of vanishing ϵ . Let us introduce the $N \times N$ matrix

$$C_N^\epsilon(x, y) = (1 + \epsilon)a(x - y) - \lambda_y \delta_{y, x+1}, \quad (4)$$

where $(x, y) \in [1, N]$ and we have assumed that $a(x) = 0$ if $x \leq -1$. It can be shown that the partition function can be rewritten as

$$q(N, \epsilon) = \prod_{x=1}^N \lambda_x^{-1} \det C_N^\epsilon \quad (5)$$

Then the pressure and the density at finite volume can be expressed as follows

$$P_N = \frac{1}{N} \langle \ln q(N, 0) \rangle \quad (6)$$

$$\rho_N = \frac{1}{N} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \langle \ln q(N, \epsilon) \rangle|_{\epsilon=0} \quad (7)$$

where the symbol $\langle \bullet \rangle$ indicates the average over disorder. Let us observe that if $\lambda_x > 0, \forall x$ then $\det C_N^\epsilon$ is also positive.

On the other hand, one can extend these formulae to the case where λ_x is a gaussian variable with a given average $\langle \lambda \rangle = \bar{\lambda}$ and with a given variance $v = \langle \lambda_x^2 \rangle - \bar{\lambda}^2$. For this purpose, we use the equality

$$\det C_N^\epsilon = [(\det C_N^\epsilon)^t C_N^\epsilon]^\frac{1}{2} \quad (8)$$

In this way the model can be generalized to gaussian disorder by redefining the finite volume pressure and density as follows

$$P_N^\epsilon = \hat{P}_N^\epsilon - \frac{1}{2N} \sum_x \langle \ln \lambda_x^2 \rangle \quad (9)$$

$$\rho_N = \frac{1}{N} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \langle \ln q(N, \epsilon) \rangle|_{\epsilon=0} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\hat{P}_N^\epsilon = \frac{1}{2N} \langle \ln \det (C_N^\epsilon)^t C_N^\epsilon \rangle \quad (11)$$

We want to point out that the quantity $H = (C_N^\epsilon)^t C_N^\epsilon$ can be considered as a random Hamiltonian. Solving the problem of the thermodynamics of the disordered LPS model amounts to compute the density of states of this random Hamiltonian. In this context, the denaturation transition we are looking for can be interpreted as a passage from a phase of localized eigenstates ($\rho > 0$) to a phase of extended eigenstates ($\rho = 0$). This is a priori surprising because in this case the properties of the density of states reflects the localization transition. amounts to a localization transition from localized to extended eigenstates, corresponding to $\rho > 0$ to $\rho = 0$, respectively. Usually, in this class of random Hamiltonian models the average over disorder can be performed by two main techniques: the supersymmetric method and the replica trick. The former method is certainly more rigorous and we shall present it in a future publication. In what follows we shall use the method of replicas, because it yields a more transparent interpretation of the results. In practice, we aim at computing the quantity

$$Z_N^\epsilon = \langle (\det (C_N^\epsilon)^t C_N^\epsilon)^n \rangle \quad (12)$$

so that we can obtain an explicit expression of the normalized pressure through the relation

$$\hat{P}_N^\epsilon = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2n} \ln Z_N^\epsilon \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, Z_N^ϵ can be rewritten as follows

$$Z_N^\epsilon = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \langle \exp \left(\sum_{x,y} [\bar{\psi}_y, \psi_x] C_N^\epsilon(x, y) \right) \rangle \quad (14)$$

where

$$[\bar{\psi}_y, \psi_x] = \sum_{m=1}^{2n} \bar{\psi}_y(m) \psi_x(m) \quad (15)$$

where $\{\bar{\psi}_y(m) \text{ and } \psi_x(m)\}$ are grassman variables. Now we can consider λ_x as gaussian random variables or perform the weak-disorder limit. One obtains the expression

$$Z_N^\epsilon = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \exp \left(\sum_{x,y} [\bar{\psi}_y, \psi_x] D_N^\epsilon(x, y) \right) \times \exp \left(\frac{v}{2} \sum_x [\bar{\psi}_x, \psi_{x+1}]^2 \right) \quad (16)$$

where

$$D_N^\epsilon(x, y) = (1 + \epsilon)a(x - y) - \bar{\lambda} \delta_{y, x+1} \quad (17)$$

Making use of the identity

$$\exp \left(-\frac{v}{2} \text{tr} H_x^2 \right) = \frac{1}{d} \int dQ_x \exp \left(-\text{tr} Q_x^2 - i\sqrt{v} \text{tr} Q_x H_x \right), \quad (18)$$

where H_x and Q_x are symmetric $(m \times m)$ matrices and d is a suitable normalization constant, we can linearize the term $\text{tr} H_x^2 = -\frac{v}{2} \sum_x [\bar{\psi}_x, \psi_{x+1}]^2$ in Eq. (16) and thus eliminate any dependence on the grassman variables. We finally obtain:

$$Z_N^\epsilon = \frac{1}{d^{N-1}} \int \prod_{x=1}^{N-1} dQ_x \exp(-\sum_x \text{tr} Q_x^2 \det [D_N^\epsilon + 2i\sqrt{v}K]) \quad (19)$$

Here $D_N^\epsilon(x, m; x', m') = \delta_{m, m'} D_N^\epsilon(x, x')$ and $K_N(x, m; x', m') = \delta_{x', x+1} Q_x(m, m')$. Since we want to focus our attention to the weakly disordered case, we can perform the mean-field theory of the problem at hand that can be obtained by the following steps:

- (s1) one has to first shift the random matrix: $Q_x \rightarrow Q_x + i\sqrt{v}q_x \mathbb{I}$
- (s2) the determinant in Eq.(19) can be rewritten as $\det \hat{D}_N^\epsilon \times \exp(\text{tr}(\ln(\mathbb{I} + 2i\sqrt{v}(\hat{D}_N^\epsilon)^{-1}K))$ where $\hat{D}_N^\epsilon(x, m; x', m') = D_N^\epsilon(x, x')\delta_{m, m'} - 2vq_x\delta_{x', x+1}\delta_{m, m'}$
- (s3) one can finally expand the logarithm to first order in v and choose q_x in such a way that any linear term in Q_x disappears from the expression of Z_N^ϵ (see Eq.(19)).

One can decompose the partition function into one part corresponding to the mean-field contribution (MF) and another one corresponding to the fluctuation one (Fl), namely

$$Z_N^\epsilon = Z_N^{\epsilon MF} Z_N^{\epsilon Fl} \quad (20)$$

where

$$Z_N^{\epsilon MF} = \det \hat{D}_N^\epsilon \exp \left[2nv \sum_x q_x^2 \right] \quad (21)$$

and

$$Z_N^{\epsilon Fl} = \frac{1}{d^{N-1}} \int \prod_x dQ_x \exp(-\sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} s_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{tr} Q_{x_1} \dots Q_{x_n}) \quad (22)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} s_2(x_1, x_2) &= \delta_{x_1, x_2} - 2v(\hat{D}_N^\epsilon)^{-1}(x_1, x_2)(\hat{D}_N^\epsilon)^{-1}(x_2, x_1) \\ s_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{1}{n}(-2i\sqrt{v})^n \prod_{j=1}^n (\hat{D}_N^\epsilon)^{-1}(x_j, x_{j+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and the latter expression holds for $n \geq 3$. Let us illustrate the mean-field results we obtain after performing the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, in such a way that $q_x \rightarrow q$ and $\lambda = \bar{\lambda} + 2vq$. The resulting self-consistent equation reads

$$q = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \left[\sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j \exp i\theta(j+1) - \lambda \right]^{-1} \quad (24)$$

and the corresponding density is

$$\rho = -1 + \lambda q \quad (25)$$

Accordingly, we find that the critical point is shifted by disorder

$$\bar{\lambda}_c = \lambda_c + (1 - \rho_c) \frac{2v}{\lambda_c}, \quad (\rho_c = 0 \text{ for } \alpha < 2) \quad (26)$$

and, consistently with the Harris criterion (see [11]), we find that the critical exponent is the same as in the ordered case for $1 < \alpha < 3/2$, in formulae

$$\rho = \bar{\rho}(\bar{\lambda}_c - \bar{\lambda})^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \quad (27)$$

On the other hand, for $\frac{3}{2} < \alpha < 2$ we find

$$\rho = \frac{\lambda_c}{2v}(\bar{\lambda}_c - \bar{\lambda}), \quad (28)$$

i.e. the critical exponent is 1 all over this range of values of α . On the other hand the Mean-Field solution still predicts a first-order transition for $2 < \alpha < 3$. This indicates that higher order correction to the MF solution have to be taken into account in order to confirm that the transition becomes continuous also in this range in the presence of disorder. We guess that an effective technique to tackle this problem should be based on a renormalization approach, directly applied to the partition function of the disordered model. Since we are dealing with a 1D models we expect that a decimation procedure can be worked out. This notwithstanding, the functional forms that appear in the above equations seems to challenge the possibility of a fully analytic, even if approximated, study.

Conclusions. Our approach offers the possibility to compute exactly the critical exponents if we take into account fluctuations in the weak disorder limit. The case $\alpha > 2$ is particularly challenging, since the rigorous work of [2] shows that large deviations, in the probabilistic sense, are responsible for the continuous nature of the transition.

Acknowledgments. RL acknowledges financial support from the italian MIUR-PRIN project n. 20083C8XFZ.

-
- [1] Y. Imry and S.-K. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1399 (1975).
 - [2] M. Aizenman and J. Wehr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2503 (1989); Commun. Math. Phys. 130, 489 (1990).
 - [3] C. E. Soteris and S. G. Whittington, J. Phys. A 37, R279 (2004).
 - [4] G. Forgacs, J. M. Luck, Th. M. Nieuwenhuizen, and H. Orland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2184 (1986).
 - [5] B. Derrida, V. Hakim, and J. Vannimenius, J. Stat. Phys. 66, 1189 (1992).
 - [6] G. Giacomini, "Disorder and critical phenomena through basic probability models", in Ecole d'été de St-Flour XL2010, 2011 Springer Verlag.

- [7] F. Caravenna, F. den Hollander and N. Petrelis, "Lectures on random polymers", arXiv:1106.6204v1(math PR)30 june 2011.
- [8] Y. Kafri, D. Mukamel, and L. Peliti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4988 (2000).
- [9] G. Giacomin and F. L. Toninelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 070602 (2006).
- [10] F. Caravenna, G. Giacomin and M. Gubinelli, math-ph/0509065 (to be published).
- [11] A. B. Harris, J. Phys. C 7, 1671 (1974).
- [12] H. Kunz, R. Livi and A. Sütö, J. Stat. Mech., P06004 (2007).